



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/392,170	09/08/1999	MONIKA R. HENZINGER	3792(PD-595)	9654
75	590 04/20/2004	EXAMINER		
Richard Lange			YUAN, ALMARI ROMERO	
Hewlett-Packard Company Intellectual Property Section			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1501 Page Mill Road- Mail Stop 4U-1197			2176	11
Palo Alto, CA 94304			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{G}		1		
		Application N .	Applicant(s)	M		
Office Action Summary		09/392,170	HENZINGER ET AL.	U		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Almari Yuan	2176			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	SS		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, as period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of or proper in the provision of the pro	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	September 2003.				
·		nis action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 2-28,30-32,35-42 and 44-62 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-28, 30-32, 35-42, and 44-62 is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	e rejected.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•	` '		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Sta	ge .		
Attachmer	nt(s)					
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)		

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment filed on 9/17/03.
- 2. The rejection of claims 16, 44, and 56 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite has been withdrawn as necessitated by amendment.
- 3. Claims 33-34 and 43 have been canceled. Claims 60-62 are newly added claims. Claims 2-28, 30-32, 35-42, and 44-62 are pending in the case. Claims 2, 7, 9, 13, 15, 20, 21, 24, 25, 27, 28, 30, 35, 37, 41, 48, 49, 52, 53, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, and 60 are independent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 4-9, 11-12, 15-19, 24-25, 27-28, 30, 32, 35-37, 44-47, 52-57, and 60-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pitkow et al. (USPN 6,457,028 B1- filed on 09/1999) in view of Singhal (USPN 6,370,527 B1 filed on 12/1998).

Regarding independent claims 2, 27, 30, 55, and 57, Pitkow discloses:

A computer-implemented method and computer program product for randomly walking through a hypertext-linked document set comprising a plurality of documents, wherein at least a subset of the documents contain a plurality of links to other documents, each document being associated

Art Unit: 2176

with a host (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 49-62: teaches web walker for linked documents), the method comprising:

- a) selecting a host; b) selecting at random a document associated with the host; c) retrieving the selected document d) responsive to occurrence of a random event: d.1) selecting at random a link in the retrieved document; d.2) selecting at random a document associated with the host; and d.3) retrieving the selected document (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 49-62: teaches a web walker that automatically follows links on a document and collects the linked documents; the document collection could be a randomly selected collection of documents and on col. 10, lines 15-31: teaches the collection may be gathered through a "web crawl" where linked pages are obtained, or through a query to one or more search engines; the document collection is identified based on the URL address (host));
- e) responsive to non-occurrence of the random event: e.1) selecting at random a link in the retrieved document; and e.2) retrieving a document referenced by the selected link; and f) and f) repeating d) and e) until a predetermined condition is met (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 49-62 and col. 10, lines 15-31: teaches a collection of linked documents can be randomly selected and can be gathered through a "web crawl" where linked pages are obtained or through a query to one or more search engines; until a list of web sites along with indicators of corresponding web pages are obtained).

However, Pitkow does not explicitly disclose "selecting at random a host from among the previously selected hosts".

Singhal on col. 1, lines 30-62 and col. 7, lines 21-30: teaches submitting a query among a plurality of search engine devices and selecting search engine device for retrieval of sources.

Art Unit: 2176

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Singhal into Pitkow to provide selecting search engine device from a plurality of search engine devices for the retrieval of sources, as taught by Singhal, incorporated into the "web crawl" or one or more search engines, as taught by Pitkow, in order to allow a user to search all of the available portions of a distributed network without having to repeatly reenter their search query.

Regarding dependent claims 4 and 32, Pitkow discloses:

wherein the document set is the World Wide Web, and wherein each document is a web page (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 15-31: teaches document collection can be a particular web site, a set of web sites, or event the entire Web itself).

Regarding dependent claim 5, Pitkow discloses:

wherein each host corresponds to a domain (Pitkow on col. 10, lines 15-31: teaches website found in URL address "www.companyabc.com" (domain)).

Regarding dependent claim 6, Pitkow discloses:

performing a second two-level random walk through the hypertext-linked document set (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 15-31 and on col. 10, lines 15-60: teaches a "web walker" and randomly selecting and collecting linked documents; the collection may be gathered based on sampling of web pages, through a "web crawl" where linked pages are obtained, or through a query to one or more search engines; a list of web sites is obtained and further processing of ranking in-links of the list of web pages or web sites is performed).

Pitkow does disclose "a second two-level random walk" on col. 7, lines 49-62: teaches web walker is used for following links and collecting linked documents which these documents

Art Unit: 2176

can be randomly selected; another process is performed by determining the frequency of linkage for each document within the document collection and on col. 10, lines 15-60: teaches a query to one or more search engines, in other words, each search engine such as a "web crawl" can obtained linked pages and can request other search engine to obtain more linked pages (as a second random walk).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Pitkow to provide a way for randomly selecting linked documents to create a document collection incorporated into a search engine to contain the document collection for other search engines to query to obtain more linked pages which will aid the user in finding the desired information.

Regarding independent claims 7 and 35, Pitkow discloses:

A computer-implemented method for randomly walking through a hypertext-linked document set comprising a plurality of documents, wherein at least a subset of the documents contain a plurality of links to other documents, each document being associated with a host (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 49-62: teaches web walker for linked documents), the method comprising:

b) initializing a document set for each host in the host set; d) selecting at random a document from the document set of the selected host; e) responsive to the selected document containing at least one link: e.1) selecting at random a link from the selected document; e.2) selecting a document corresponding to the selected link e.3) selecting a host corresponding to the selected document (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 49-62: teaches a web walker that automatically follows links on a document (for the selection at random a link) and collects the linked documents; the document collection (document set) could be a randomly selected collection of

documents (selected documents) and on col. 10, lines 15-31: teaches the collection may be gathered through a "web crawl" where linked pages are obtained, or through a query to one or more search engines; each search engine can have a document collection or a list of web pages or web sites for other search engines to perform queries).

However, Pitkow does not explicitly disclose "a) initializing a host set; c) selecting at random a host from the host set; e.4) adding the selected host to the host set; e.5) adding the selected document to the document set of the selected host".

Singhal discloses "a) initializing a host set" on col. 1, lines 30-62: teaches plurality of search engine devices; "c) selecting at random a host from the host set" on col. 1, lines 30-32 and col. 7, lines 21-30: teaches submitting a query among a plurality of search engine devices (host set) and selecting search engine device for retrieval of sources; "e.4) adding the selected host to the host set" on col. 2, lines 18-27: teaches a number of search engines that found the same source can be added based on availability; "e.5) adding the selected document to the document set of the selected host" on col. 4, lines 1-17: teaches source such as web page or document can be found by the search engine device and added in search result).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Singhal into Pitkow to provide selecting search engine device from a plurality of search engine devices (host set) for the retrieval of sources, as taught by Singhal, incorporated into the "web crawl" or one or more search engines, as taught by Pitkow, in order to allow a user to search all of the available portions of a distributed network without having to repeatly reenter their search query.

Regarding dependent claims 8 and 36, Singhal discloses:

Art Unit: 2176

is performed responsive to the selected host not being in the host set; and is performed responsive to the selected document not being in the document set of the selected host (Singhal col. 2, lines 18-27: teaches number of search engines can be selected or not selected based on availability and if being able to fine the requested source (document)).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Singhal into Pitkow to provide selecting search engine device from a plurality of search engine devices for the retrieval of sources, as taught by Singhal, incorporated into the "web crawl" or one or more search engines, as taught by Pitkow, in order to allow a user to search all of the available portions of a distributed network without having to repeatly reenter their search query.

Regarding independent claims 9 and 37, Singhal discloses:

A computer-implemented method for randomly walking through a hypertext-linked document set comprising a plurality of documents, wherein at least a subset of the documents contain a plurality of links to other documents, each document being associated with a host (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 49-62: teaches web walker for linked documents), the method comprising:

b) initializing a document set for each host in the host set; d) selecting at random a document from the document set of the selected host; e) responsive to non-occurrence of the random event, and further responsive to the selected document containing at least one link: e.1) selecting at random a link from the selected document; e.2) selecting a document corresponding to the selected link e.3) selecting a host corresponding to the selected document (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 49-62 and col. 10, lines 15-31: teaches a collection of linked documents (document set) can be randomly selected (selecting at random a document) and can be gathered through a "web

Page 8

crawl" where linked pages are obtained or through a query to one or more search engines; until a list of web sites along with indicators of corresponding web pages are obtained; in other words, the document can be selected among one or more search engines containing a gathered document collection or a list of web pages or web sites).

Singhal discloses "a) initializing a host set" on col. 1, lines 30-62: teaches plurality of search engine devices; "c) selecting at random a host from the host set" on col. 1, lines 30-32 and col. 7, lines 21-30: teaches submitting a query among a plurality of search engine devices (host set) and selecting search engine device for retrieval of sources; "e.4) adding the selected host to the host set" on col. 2, lines 18-27: teaches a number of search engines that found the same source can be added based on availability; "e.5) adding the selected document to the document set of the selected host" on col. 4, lines 1-17: teaches source such as web page or document can be found by the search engine device and added in search result).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Singhal into Pitkow to provide selecting search engine device from a plurality of search engine devices (host set) for the retrieval of sources, as taught by Singhal, incorporated into the "web crawl" or one or more search engines, as taught by Pitkow, in order to allow a user to search all of the available portions of a distributed network without having to repeatly reenter their search query.

Regarding dependent claims 11 and 39, Pitkow discloses:

wherein the document set is the World Wide Web, and wherein each document is a web page (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 15-31: teaches document collection can be a particular web site, a set of web sites, or event the entire Web itself).

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding dependent claims 12 and 40, Pitkow discloses:

wherein each host corresponds to a domain (Pitkow on col. 10, lines 15-31: teaches website found in URL address "www.companyabc.com" (domain)).

Regarding independent claim 15, Pitkow discloses:

A computer-implemented method for measuring relative quality of a search engine index (Pitkow on col. 10, lines 54-60: teaches analyzing citation index for each web page within a web site), comprising:

- a) performing a two-level random walk among documents within a document set; a.1) selecting a host; a.2) selecting at random a document associated with the host; a.3) retrieving the selected document; a.3.1) responsive to occurrence of a random event; a.3.1.2) retrieving the selected document (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 15-31 and on col. 10, lines 15-60: teaches a "web walker" and randomly selecting and collecting linked documents (selecting at random a document); the collection may be gathered based on sampling of web pages, through a "web crawl" where linked pages are obtained, or through a query to one or more search engines (selecting a host); a list of web sites is obtained and further processing of ranking in-links of the list of web pages or web sites is performed (two-level random walk));
- a.3.2) responsive to non-occurrence of the random event:a.4) selecting at random a link in the retrieved document; and a.5) retrieving a document referenced by the selected link (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 49-62 and col. 10, lines 15-31: teaches a collection of linked documents can be randomly selected and can be gathered through a "web crawl" where linked pages are obtained or through a query to one or more search engines; until a list of web sites along with indicators of corresponding web pages are obtained);

b) for each document encountered in the random walk, determining whether the document is indexed by the search engine index (Pitkow on col. 10, lines 54-60: teaches constructing and analyzing citation index for each web page; a citation index is a listing of all the links contained in the page; wherein for each pair of web sites would reveal the number of times each of the sites are both cited by the same page and the same site); and c) aggregating the results of b) (Pitkow on col. 11, lines 10-15: teaches aggregate all the links to a "destination" web site).

Pitkow does disclose "a second two-level random walk" on col. 7, lines 49-62: teaches web walker is used for following links and collecting linked documents which these documents can be randomly selected; another process is performed by determining the frequency of linkage for each document within the document collection and on col. 10, lines 15-60: teaches a query to one or more search engines, in other words, each search engine such as a "web crawl" can obtained linked pages and can request other search engine to obtain more linked pages (as a second random walk).

However, Pitkow does not explicitly disclose "selecting at random a host from among the previously selected hosts".

Singhal on col. 1, lines 30-62 and col. 7, lines 21-30: teaches submitting a query among a plurality of search engine devices and selecting search engine device for retrieval of sources.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Singhal into Pitkow to provide selecting search engine device from a plurality of search engine devices for the retrieval of sources, as taught by Singhal, incorporated into the "web crawl" or one or more search engines, as taught by Pitkow, in order to

allow a user to search all of the available portions of a distributed network without having to repeatly reenter their search query.

Regarding dependent claims 16 and 44, the limitations of claims 16 and 44 are similar to those in rejecting claim 7 and are rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding dependent claims 17 and 45, the limitations of claims 17 and 45 are similar to those in rejecting claim 8 and are rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding dependent claims 18 and 46, Singhal discloses:

wherein each document contains a plurality of words, and wherein b) comprises, for each document encountered in the random walk: b.l) selecting at least one word from the document; b.2) performing a query on the search engine index based on the selected at least one word, to obtain search results; and b.3) determining whether the document is included in the obtained search results (Singhal on col. 2, lines 42-48: teaches search terms (words) found in resources (document) for a query to performed by the search engine; weighting the results obtained from the search engines).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Singhal into Pitkow to provide a plurality of search terms (words) for performing a query by the search engine devices, as taught by Singhal, incorporated into the search engine "web crawl" or one or more search engines, as taught by Pitkow, in order to allow a user to search all of the available portions of a distributed network without having to repeatly reenter their search query.

Regarding dependent claims 19 and 47, Singhal discloses:

wherein b.1) comprises selecting at least one word based on rarity (Singhal on col. 2, lines 42-48: teaches search terms (words) found in resources (document) and ranking the occurrence of search terms (determine if term occurs more than once)).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Singhal into Pitkow to provide a plurality of search terms (words) for performing a query by the search engine devices, as taught by Singhal, incorporated into the search engine "web crawl" or one or more search engines, as taught by Pitkow, in order to allow a user to search all of the available portions of a distributed network without having to repeatly reenter their search query.

Regarding independent claims 24 and 52, Pitkow discloses:

A computer-implemented method for measuring relative quality of a target document in a document set comprising a plurality of documents, wherein at least a subset of the documents contain a plurality of links to other documents, wherein each document is associated with a host (Pitkow on col. 10, lines 54-60: teaches analyzing citation index for each web page within a web site (document collection)), the method comprising:

a) performing a two-level random walk among documents within a document set, by: a.1) selecting a host; a.2) selecting at random a document associated with the host; a.3) retrieving the selected document; a.4) responsive to occurrence of a random event: a.4.2) selecting at random a document associated with the host; and a.4.3) retrieving the selected document (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 15-31 and on col. 10, lines 15-60: teaches a "web walker" and randomly selecting and collecting linked documents (selecting at random a document); the collection may be gathered based on sampling of web pages, through a "web crawl" where linked pages are obtained, or

Art Unit: 2176

through a query to one or more search engines (selecting a host); a list of web sites is obtained and further processing of ranking in-links of the list of web pages or web sites is performed (two-level random walk));

a.5) responsive to non-occurrence of the random event: a.5.1) selecting at random a link in the retrieved document; and a.5.2) retrieving a document referenced by the selected link (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 49-62 and col. 10, lines 15-31: teaches a collection of linked documents can be randomly selected and can be gathered through a "web crawl" where linked pages are obtained or through a query to one or more search engines; until a list of web sites along with indicators of corresponding web pages are obtained);

b) determining a quality metric responsive to the number of documents encountered during the two-level random walk that link to the target document (Pitkow on col. 10, lines 54-60: teaches constructing and analyzing citation index for each web page; a citation index is a listing of all the links contained in the page; wherein for each pair of web sites would reveal the number of times each of the sites are both cited by the same page and the same site (determining quality of the site with collection of documents)).

Pitkow does disclose "a second two-level random walk" on col. 7, lines 49-62: teaches web walker is used for following links and collecting linked documents which these documents can be randomly selected; another process is performed by determining the frequency of linkage for each document within the document collection and on col. 10, lines 15-60: teaches a query to one or more search engines, in other words, each search engine such as a "web crawl" can obtained linked pages and can request other search engine to obtain more linked pages (as a second random walk).

Art Unit: 2176

However, Pitkow does not explicitly disclose "selecting at random a host from among the previously selected hosts".

Singhal on col. 1, lines 30-62 and col. 7, lines 21-30: teaches submitting a query among a plurality of search engine devices and selecting search engine device for retrieval of sources.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Singhal into Pitkow to provide selecting search engine device from a plurality of search engine devices for the retrieval of sources, as taught by Singhal, incorporated into the "web crawl" or one or more search engines, as taught by Pitkow, in order to allow a user to search all of the available portions of a distributed network without having to repeatly reenter their search query.

Regarding independent claims 25 and 53, Pitkow discloses:

A computer-implemented method for measuring relative quality of a target document in a document set comprising a plurality of documents, wherein at least a subset of the documents contain a plurality of links to other documents, wherein each document is associated with a host, (Pitkow on col. 10, lines 54-60: teaches analyzing citation index for each web page within a web site (document collection)), the method comprising:

a) performing a two-level random walk among documents within a document set, by: initializing a document set for each host in the host set; a.4) responsive to occurrence of a random event ((Pitkow on col. 7, lines 15-31 and on col. 10, lines 15-60: teaches a "web walker" and randomly selecting and collecting linked documents; the collection (document set) may be gathered based on sampling of web pages, through a "web crawl" where linked pages are obtained, or through a query to one or more search engines (selecting a host); a list of web sites

Art Unit: 2176

is obtained and further processing of ranking in-links of the list of web pages or web sites is performed (two-level random walk))

a.5) responsive to non-occurrence of the random event: a.5.1) selecting at random a document from the document set of the selected host; a.5.2) responsive to the selected document containing at least one link, a.5.2.1) selecting at random a link from the selected document; a.5.2.2) selecting a document corresponding to the selected link; and a.5.2.3) selecting a host corresponding to the selected document (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 49-62 and col. 10, lines 15-31: teaches a collection of linked documents can be randomly selected (selecting at random a document) and can be gathered through a "web crawl" where linked pages are obtained or through a query to one or more search engines; until a list of web sites along with indicators of corresponding web pages are obtained; in other words, the document can be selected from a plurality of search engines also containing document collections or list of web pages or web sites);

b) determining a quality metric responsive to the number of documents encountered during the two-level random walk that link to the target document (Pitkow on col. 10, lines 54-60: teaches constructing and analyzing citation index for each web page; a citation index is a listing of all the links contained in the page; wherein for each pair of web sites would reveal the number of times each of the sites are both cited by the same page and the same site (determining quality of the site with collection of documents)).

Pitkow does disclose "a second two-level random walk" on col. 7, lines 49-62: teaches web walker is used for following links and collecting linked documents which these documents can be randomly selected; another process is performed by determining the frequency of linkage

Art Unit: 2176

for each document within the document collection and on col. 10, lines 15-60: teaches a query to one or more search engines, in other words, each search engine such as a "web crawl" can obtained linked pages and can request other search engine to obtain more linked pages (as a second random walk).

However, Pitkow does not explicitly disclose "selecting at random a host from among the previously selected hosts"; "a) initializing a host set; c) selecting at random a host from the host set; e.4) adding the selected host to the host set; e.5) adding the selected document to the document set of the selected host".

Singhal does disclose "selecting at random a host from among the previously selected hosts" on col. 1, lines 30-62 and col. 7, lines 21-30: teaches submitting a query among a plurality of search engine devices and selecting search engine device for retrieval of sources; "a) initializing a host set" on col. 1, lines 30-62: teaches plurality of search engine devices; "c) selecting at random a host from the host set" on col. 1, lines 30-32 and col. 7, lines 21-30: teaches submitting a query among a plurality of search engine devices (host set) and selecting search engine device for retrieval of sources; "e.4) adding the selected host to the host set" on col. 2, lines 18-27: teaches a number of search engines that found the same source can be added based on availability; "e.5) adding the selected document to the document set of the selected host" on col. 4, lines 1-17: teaches source such as web page or document can be found by the search engine device and added in search result).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Singhal into Pitkow to provide selecting search engine device from a plurality of search engine devices (host set) for the retrieval of sources, as taught

Art Unit: 2176

by Singhal, incorporated into the "web crawl" or one or more search engines, as taught by Pitkow, in order to allow a user to search all of the available portions of a distributed network without having to repeatly reenter their search query.

Regarding claims 28 and 56, the limitations of claims 28 and 56 are similar to those in rejecting claim 25 and are rejected under the same rationale.

Regarding claims 60-62, the limitations of claims 60-62 are similar to those in rejecting claims 7, 9, 16, 25, 28, 35, 37, 41, 44, 53, 56, and 58 and are rejected under the same rationale.

6. Claims 3, 10, 31, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pitkow and Singhal, as applied to claims 2, 4-9, 11-12, 15-19, 24-25, 27-28, 30, 32-37, 43-47, and 52-57 above, and in further view of Page (USPN 6,285,999 B1 – filed on 1/1998). Regarding dependent claims 3, 10, 31, and 38, Pitkow and Singhal disclose the invention substantially as claimed as described *supra*. However, Pitkow and Singhal do not explicitly disclose "a generated random number falling within the predetermined range".

Page does disclose "generated random number falling within the predetermined range" on col. 5, lines 21-59 and col. 6, lines 12-60: teaches pages with no links cause some complication by adding huge amounts to the "random jump factor" during the ranking process, in other words, the "random jump factor" is a factor of the number of jumps from one link of a document to another link.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Page into Pitkow and Singhal to provide a way to determine the random jump factor during ranking process, as taught by Page, incorporated into

Art Unit: 2176

the ranking process of Pitkow and Singhal in order for the ranking method to provide superior results.

Page 18

7. Claims 13-14, 20-22, 26, 41-42, 48-50, 54, and 58-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pitkow et al. (USPN 6,457,028 B1- filed on 09/1999). Regarding independent claims 13, 41, and 58, Pitkow discloses:

A computer-implemented method for measuring relative quality of a search engine index (Pitkow on col. 10, lines 54-60: teaches analyzing citation index for each web page within a web site (document collection)), comprising:

- a) performing a two-level random walk among documents within a document set (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 15-31 and on col. 10, lines 15-60: teaches a "web walker" and randomly selecting and collecting linked documents; the collection may be gathered based on sampling of web pages, through a "web crawl" where linked pages are obtained, or through a query to one or more search engines; a list of web sites is obtained and further processing of ranking in-links of the list of web pages or web sites is performed);
- b) for each document encountered in the random walk, determining whether the document is indexed by the search engine index (Pitkow on col. 10, lines 54-60: teaches constructing and analyzing citation index for each web page; a citation index is a listing of all the links contained in the page; wherein for each pair of web sites would reveal the number of times each of the sites are both cited by the same page and the same site); and c) aggregating the results of b) (Pitkow on col. 11, lines 10-15: teaches aggregate all the links to a "destination" web site).

Pitkow does disclose "a second two-level random walk" on col. 7, lines 49-62: teaches web walker is used for following links and collecting linked documents which these documents can be randomly selected; another process is performed by determining the frequency of linkage for each document within the document collection and on col. 10, lines 15-60: teaches a query to one or more search engines, in other words, each search engine such as a "web crawl" can obtained linked pages and can request other search engine to obtain more linked pages (as a second random walk).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Pitkow to provide a way for randomly selecting linked documents to create a document collection incorporated into a search engine to contain the document collection for other search engines to query to obtain more linked pages which will aid the user in finding the desired information.

Regarding dependent claims 14 and 42, Pitkow discloses:

- a.1) selecting a host; a.2) selecting at random a document associated with the host; a.3) retrieving the selected document; a.4) selecting at random a link in the retrieved document (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 49-62: teaches a web walker that automatically follows links on a document and collects the linked documents; the document collection could be a randomly selected collection of documents and on col. 10, lines 15-31: teaches the collection may be gathered through a "web crawl" where linked pages are obtained, or through a query to one or more search engines; the document collection is identified based on the URL address (host));
- a.5) retrieving a document referenced by the selected link (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 49-62 and col. 10, lines 15-31: teaches a collection of linked documents can be randomly selected and

can be gathered (retrieving a document) through a "web crawl" where linked pages are obtained (retrieving a document) or through a query to one or more search engines; until a list of web sites along with indicators of corresponding web pages are obtained).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Pitkow to provide a way for randomly selecting linked documents to create a document collection incorporated into a search engine to contain the document collection for other search engines to query to obtain more linked pages which will aid the user in finding the desired information.

Regarding independent claims 20, 48, and 59, Pitkow discloses:

A computer-implemented method for measuring relative quality of a target document in a document set (Pitkow on col. 10, lines 54-60: teaches analyzing citation index for each web page within a web site (document collection)), comprising:

- a) performing a two-level random walk among documents within a document set (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 15-31 and on col. 10, lines 15-60: teaches a "web walker" and randomly selecting and collecting linked documents; the collection may be gathered based on sampling of web pages, through a "web crawl" where linked pages are obtained, or through a query to one or more search engines; a list of web sites is obtained and further processing of ranking in-links of the list of web pages or web sites is performed); and
- b) determining a quality metric responsive to the number of times the target document is encountered in the random walk (Pitkow on col. 10, lines 54-60: teaches constructing and analyzing citation index for each web page; a citation index is a listing of all the links contained in the page; wherein for each pair of web sites would reveal the number of times each of the sites

Art Unit: 2176

are both cited by the same page and the same site (determining quality of the site with collection of documents)).

Pitkow does disclose "a second two-level random walk" on col. 7, lines 49-62: teaches web walker is used for following links and collecting linked documents which these documents can be randomly selected; another process is performed by determining the frequency of linkage for each document within the document collection and on col. 10, lines 15-60: teaches a query to one or more search engines, in other words, each search engine such as a "web crawl" can obtained linked pages and can request other search engine to obtain more linked pages (as a second random walk).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Pitkow to provide a way for randomly selecting linked documents to create a document collection incorporated into a search engine to contain the document collection for other search engines to query to obtain more linked pages which will aid the user in finding the desired information.

Regarding independent claims 21 and 49, Pitkow discloses:

A computer-implemented method for measuring relative quality of a target document in a document set comprising a plurality of documents, wherein at least a subset of the documents contain a plurality of links to other documents (Pitkow on col. 10, lines 54-60: teaches analyzing citation index for each web page within a web site (document collection)), the method comprising:

a) performing a two-level random walk among documents within a document set (Pitkow on col. 7, lines 15-31 and on col. 10, lines 15-60: teaches a "web walker" and randomly selecting

and collecting linked documents; the collection may be gathered based on sampling of web pages, through a "web crawl" where linked pages are obtained, or through a query to one or more search engines; a list of web sites is obtained and further processing of ranking in-links of the list of web pages or web sites is performed); and

b) determining a quality metric responsive to the number of documents encountered during the two-level random walk that link to the target document (Pitkow on col. 10, lines 54-60: teaches constructing and analyzing citation index for each web page; a citation index is a listing of all the links contained in the page; wherein for each pair of web sites would reveal the number of times each of the sites are both cited by the same page and the same site (determining quality of the site with collection of documents)).

Pitkow does disclose "a second two-level random walk" on col. 7, lines 49-62: teaches web walker is used for following links and collecting linked documents which these documents can be randomly selected; another process is performed by determining the frequency of linkage for each document within the document collection and on col. 10, lines 15-60: teaches a query to one or more search engines, in other words, each search engine such as a "web crawl" can obtained linked pages and can request other search engine to obtain more linked pages (as a second random walk).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Pitkow to provide a way for randomly selecting linked documents to create a document collection incorporated into a search engine to contain the document collection for other search engines to query to obtain more linked pages which will aid the user in finding the desired information.

Page 23

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding dependent claims 22 and 50, Pitkow discloses:

wherein b) comprises determining a quality metric responsive to the number of documents that link to the target document, and responsive to the quality metric of the linking documents (Pitkow on col. 10, lines 32-60 and col. 11, lines 10-15: determining "in-link" frequency of a plurality of web pages).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Pitkow to provide a way for randomly selecting linked documents to create a document collection incorporated into a search engine to contain the document collection for other search engines to query to obtain more linked pages which will aid the user in finding the desired information.

8. Claims 23 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pitkow, as applied to claims 13-14, 20-22, 26, 41-42, 48-50, 54, and 58-59 above, in view of Page (USPN 6,285,999 B1 – filed on 1/1998).

Regarding dependent claims 23 and 51, Pitkow discloses the invention substantially as claimed as described *supra*. However, Pitkow does not explicitly disclose "wherein b) comprises determining a value for: $R(p)=d/T+(1-d)\Sigma R(pi)/C(pi)$ where: R(p) is the PageRank of target document p; R(pi) is the PageRank of document pi; R(pi) is the total number of documents in the document set; R(pi) is a damping factor such that R(pi) is the total number of document at least one link to target document p; and R(pi) is the number of links out of document p".

Page on col. 6, lines 12-60 and col. 7, lines 56-64: teaches ranking of a each document in a database containing plurality of linked documents; determining the damping factor; and determining documents containing forward links to determine a value.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Page into Pitkow to provide a way to rank each document in a database of a plurality of documents; determine damping factor; and determine documents containing forward links to determine a value, as taught by Page, incorporated into the ranking process of Pitkow in order for the ranking method to provide superior results.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 9/17/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Applicant's remarks on page 29, last paragraph – page 30, 3rd paragraph:

Referring to claim 2, Pitkow does disclose "selecting at random a link in the retrieved document", on col. 7, lines 49-62: teaches a web walker that automatically follows links on a document and collects the linked documents; the document collection could be a randomly selected collection of documents, in other words, the web walker can check the links on the retrieved document and can randomly select and collect the linked documents of the retrieved document.

Pitkow does disclose "responsive to non-occurrence of the random event, selecting at random a link in the retrieved document" and "retrieving a document referenced by the selected

Art Unit: 2176

link, until a predetermined condition is met", on col. 7, lines 49-62 and col. 10, lines 15-31: teaches a collection of linked documents can be randomly selected and can be gathered through a "web crawl" where linked pages are obtained or through a query to one or more search engines; until a list of web sites along with indicators of corresponding web pages are obtained.

Regarding Applicant's remarks of claims 7, 9, 15, 24, 25, 27, 28, 30, 35, 37, 52, 53, 55, 56, and 57 are not persuasive based upon the reasons provided above regarding claim 2.

Therefore, the rejection of claims 7, 9, 15, 24, 25, 27, 28, 30, 35, 37, 52, 53, 55, 56, and 57 is maintained.

Regarding Applicant's remarks on page 31, last paragraph:

Referring to claim 13, Pitkow does disclose "performing a two-level random walking" on col. 7, lines 15-31 and on col. 10, lines 15-60: teaches a "web walker" and randomly selecting and collecting linked documents; the collection may be gathered based on sampling of web pages, through a "web crawl" where linked pages are obtained, or through a query to one or more search engines; a list of web sites is obtained and further processing of ranking in-links of the list of web pages or web sites is performed, in other words, for each randomly selected document within the collection of documents, the "web walker" can determine the frequency of linkage (i.e. the number of times it is linked to by another document in the collection) of the selected document.

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding Applicant's remarks of claims 20, 21, 41, 48, 49, and 59 are not persuasive based upon the reasons provided above regarding claims 2 and 13. Therefore, the rejection of claims 20, 21, 41, 48, 49, and 59 is maintained.

Regarding Applicant's remarks on page 40, last paragraph:

Referring to claim 58, Pitkow does disclose "for each document encountered in the random walk, determining whether the document is indexed by the search engine index", on col. 10, lines 54-60: teaches constructing and analyzing citation index for each web page; a citation index is a listing of all the links contained in the page; wherein for each pair of web sites would reveal the number of times each of the sites are both cited by the same page and the same site. Furthermore, Pitkow on col. 10, lines 15-49 discloses the collection of linked pages can be also obtained through a query to one or more search engines; on col. 1, lines 30-50 and col. 2, lines 47-60 teaches it is known that search engines can create a ranked list of documents.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2176

Page 27

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Almari Yuan whose telephone number is 703-305-5945. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays - Fridays (8:30am - 5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild, can be reached on 703-305-9792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AY

April 14, 2004

SANJIV SHAH

PRIMARY EXAMINER